

**TENTATIVE AGENDA
MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF LADUE, MISSOURI
COUNCIL CHAMBERS
9345 CLAYTON ROAD
LADUE, MISSOURI 63124
THURSDAY, AUGUST 18, 2016
4:00 P.M.**

The Hon. Nancy Spewak, Mayor, to preside and call the meeting to order.

Adoption and Approval of the Agenda

Approval of the Minutes: Minutes of the Regular and Closed Meetings of July 18, 2016.

Public Forum: The Mayor will recognize any visitors who wish to address the Mayor and Council on City matters. **(Speakers will be limited to 3 minutes)**

Public Hearing:

PH 1: The City Council will hold a Public Hearing on a recommendation submitted by the Zoning and Planning Commission that the City Council approves a Special Use Permit for Tom Burr to operate a therapeutic massage practice at the Clayprice Shopping Center located at 9216 Clayton Road. **(Z&P recommends approval ZPC 16-11)**

Committee Report: (Uniform and Non-Uniform Pension Plan Board of Trustees)

C-1 Report change of Asset Management Services for the Uniform and Non-Uniform Pension Plans

Zoning and Planning Matters:

ZP-1 Referral to Zoning and Planning Commission for a Special Use Permit submitted by Extenet Systems, Inc. to install telecommunications small cell antennas on top of utility poles along with radio and power equipment on the poles at the rear of 17 Oakleigh Lane.

ZP-2 Referral to Zoning and Planning Commission for an Amended Special Use Permit submitted by John Burroughs School for:

Modification to Condition 3: Revise the Master Site Plan to include the following proposed changes:

- Demolition of the existing Stamper Library and Gaylord Science buildings
- Construction of a proposed Science Technology and Research building

Modification to Condition 4: Construction Phasing:

- Addition of Phase VI- March 2017- August 2019 – New Science, Technology, and Research Building

Modification to Condition 4: Request an enrollment increase from 600 to 650 students

ZP-3 Referral to Zoning and Planning Commission for a Special Use Permit submitted by Ladue Corner LLC located at 2105 S. Warson Road for an addition to the east side of the existing residence consisting of a 2-story masonry structure connected with a conservatory including driveway improvements/extension.

Old Business: None

New Business

Proposed Legislation:

Bill No. 2137 – An ordinance of the City of Ladue, St. Louis County, Missouri, amending Chapter 2 of the Ladue Code to enact a new Article X relating to Storm Water Control.

(Storm Water Advisory Committee recommends approval)

Resolution No. 2016-05 – A resolution granting a variation to Section 94-34(4) of Chapter 94 of the Code of Ordinances for the resubdivision of Lot 10 of Briarcliff to include an easement within the lot area calculation, pursuant to and in accordance with Section 94-3(a). **(Z&P recommends denial)**

Resolution No. 2016-06 – A resolution granting a variation to Section 94-34(4)e of Chapter 94 of the Code of Ordinances for the resubdivision of Lot 10 of Briarcliff to include two lots with more than five sides, pursuant to and in accordance with Section 94-3(a). **(Z&P recommends approval)**

Resolution No. 2016-09 – A resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute on behalf of the City a Rights-of-Way Use Agreement with Extenet Systems, Inc.

Resolution No. 2016-10 – A resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute on behalf of the City of Ladue, Missouri a contract with SCI Engineering, Inc. for construction material testing and special inspections for the construction of the new Fire House No. 1.

Resolution No. 2016-11 – A resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute on behalf of the City of Ladue, Missouri a contract with Roadsafe Traffic Systems, Inc. for the Citywide Pavement Striping Project 2016/2017.

Resolution No. 2016-12 – A resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute on behalf of the City of Ladue, Missouri a contract for the 2016 microsurfacing project. (Contractor to be determined after receipt of bids on August 16, 2016.)

Financial Matters:

- F-1** Report of the Finance Director regarding significant items in the financial reports.
- F-2** The Mayor and Council to review and approve the Vouchers for Payment for the month of July 2016.
- F-3** The Mayor and Council to review the combined Treasurer's and Collector's Report for July 2016.
- F-4** The Mayor and Council to review the Financial Report for July 2016.
- F-5** The Mayor and Council to review the Cash Flow Summary for July 2016.
- F-6** The Mayor and Council to review the Land Lots and Delinquent Tax List.

Department Reports:

- D-1 Fire Department:** Mayor and Council to review the Fire Department Activity Report for the month of July 2016.
- D-2 Police Department:** Mayor and Council to review the Police Activity Report for the period of January 1 – July 31, 2016.
- D-3 Public Works:** Mayor and Council to review the report of the Director of the Public Works Department.
 - a. Building Office report for the period through July 2016.
- D-4 Municipal Court:** Mayor and Council to review the report of the Municipal Court for July 2016.
- D-5 Administration/City Clerk:**
 - a. Request to authorize the Mayor to execute a contract with Muni Financial for accounting services in an amount not to exceed \$6,800.00.
 - b. Report from the City Attorney.
- D-6 Appointments:**
 - a. Request for approval of the appointment of Kathy Williams from alternate member to regular member of the Architectural Review Board.

b. Request for approval of the appointment of Diane Lochner as an alternate member of the Architectural Review Board.

Adjournment: Next meeting dates: Monday, September 19, 2016 and Monday, October 17, 2016.

Note: Pursuant to Section 610.022 RSMO., the City Council could vote to close the public meeting and move to executive session to discuss matters relating to litigation, legal actions and/or communications from the City Attorney as provided under section 610.021 (1) RSMO. and/or personnel matters under section 610.021 (13) RSMO. and/or employee matters under section 610.021 (3) RSMO. and/or real estate matters under section 610.021 (2).

Posted Date: 8/12/16

Time: 3:40 pm. By: J. Rida

BILL NO. 2437

ORDINANCE NO. _____

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF LADUE, ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AMENDING CHAPTER 2 OF THE LADUE CODE TO ENACT A NEW ARTICLE X RELATING TO STORM WATER CONTROL

WHEREAS, it is in the interests of the City and its residents to address storm water concerns and its remediation in a fair and effective manner; and

WHEREAS, the City has engaged HR Green, Inc., consulting engineers, to assist the City in evaluating storm water needs and identifying areas within the City having the greatest need for remediation; and

WHEREAS, in February 2016, the City Council approved formation of a Storm Water Advisory Committee to continue to assess the City's storm water needs and make recommendations to the City Council regarding actions that may be taken to address the same; and

WHEREAS, the Storm Water Advisory Committee has met on several occasions to evaluate the City's storm water needs and define the parameters of a Storm Water Control Program and has now presented such Storm Water Control Program to the City Council for adoption; and

WHEREAS, the City Council desires to adopt the Storm Water Control Program as recommended and presented by the Storm Water Advisory Committee; and

WHEREAS, this bill has been made available for public inspection prior to its consideration by the City Council and has been read by title two times in open meeting prior to its passage.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LADUE, ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Chapter 2 of the Ladue Code is hereby amended by enacting a new Article X within Chapter 2 to read as follows:

ARTICLE X. – STORM WATER CONTROL PROGRAM

Sec. 2-320 - Storm Water Control Program.

The purpose of this Ordinance is to address the City of Ladue's (the "City") desire to assist its residents, define the storm water control program (the "Program") for the City, and define a process that will allow the City to transition from considering storm water as a private responsibility in which the City intervenes only in emergency situations to one where storm water control is facilitated between Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District (MSD), the City, and the private property owners within the community.

It is the intention of the City to address, within the constraints of the storm water fund budget, storm water concerns for affected City infrastructure as well as private properties where surface drainage conditions have created a negative impact. This Program is currently funded from portions of the sales tax of one-half-cent (\$0.005) collected for the payment of storm water improvements and remediation within the City (the "Storm Water Fund"). Notwithstanding anything to the contrary, the City shall not be obligated to undertake any storm water project, nor is the City committing to address all storm water concerns within the City boundaries.

Sec. 2-321 - Storm Water Advisory Committee.

The implementation of the Program shall be facilitated by a Storm Water Advisory Committee (the "Committee") which shall consist of five members who shall be residents of the City. The Committee shall make recommendations for development and implementation of the Program to the City Council. The members of the Committee shall be appointed by the Mayor with the approval of the City Council. Each member of the Committee shall serve for a term of three years, or until their successor is duly appointed and qualified. The Mayor and Director of Public Works ("Director") shall serve as ex officio members of the Committee.

The Committee shall perform various tasks as described in this Ordinance, which shall include, but not limited to, annual review of the Problem Point Density Map (the "Heat Map"), initial and periodic review of the Storm Water Master Plan, development of an initial implementation plan, annual update of such plan, periodic review of the overall Program, and development of recommendations to the City Council, as needed, on storm water related matters. The Committee may seek input from appropriate agencies, groups or individuals as necessary to evaluate the plan and suggest necessary revisions.

Sec. 2-322 - Background and Project Identification.

The Director is responsible for the identification of potential projects through analysis of public infrastructure or through input received by individual residents through the Heat Map. The Heat Map will be utilized to guide further study for the Storm Water Master Plan and will initially focus on storm water concerns identified in the Storm Water Needs Assessment that have the highest statistical need.

The Heat Map will be updated annually by the Director to aid in the identification of problem areas. The Heat Map was developed from input received from individual residents through a public engagement process, data provided by MSD, and through analysis of public and private infrastructure and stream evaluation with outside professional consultants. The Heat Map illustrates problem area priorities and stream conditions by color, with the highest priority areas illustrated in red, and the lowest priority areas in green.

The annual update of the Heat Map shall be presented to the Committee for approval and shall include the addition to the database of problem areas identified from other source(s) since the previous update. The annual update of the Heat Map shall include the deletion from the database of problem areas previously addressed or remediated by the Storm Water Master Plan.

Minimum Project Standards.

A. Projects identified to be a part of the Program shall meet the following minimum guidelines:

1. Threatened public structure or improvement; or
2. Surface flows altered or caused by a public improvement; or
3. Cannot reasonably be completed by individual property owners due to the necessary involvement of other parties or agencies outside of that individual's control; or
4. Threatened private roadway; or
5. Altered upstream conditions; or
6. Inadequate system design under previously less restrictive development regulations; or
7. Inadequate system maintenance.

B. The City has a responsibility to the public regarding expenditure of public funds. As such, priority and weighting of storm water projects shall be determined based upon problem categories specified on the City Project Identification Information Sheet (the "Ladue Score Sheet"), attached to this Ordinance as Exhibit A. The Director may amend Exhibit A from time to time as necessary and appropriate upon the review and approval of the Committee.

C. The purpose of the Ladue Score Sheet is to calculate a Benefit to Cost Ratio ("BCR") which is developed on a project-by-project basis by first calculating the Total Benefit Points utilizing the MSD Storm Water Project Prioritization System and inputting that value into the Ladue Score Sheet as the Project Benefit Points. The MSD Storm Water Project Prioritization System shall serve as the basis for developing the BCR on the Ladue Score Sheet. Other data required for the Ladue Score Sheet are data points that are unique to the City and include problem category multipliers and number of properties benefitted which are further defined on the Ladue Score Sheet.

D. Issues determined by the Director to be the result of the actions of an individual property owner impacting his or her own property are not eligible for consideration. Issues created as the result of the actions of an individual property owner which impact downstream properties are not eligible, but instead shall be considered a matter subject to civil action between affected parties. The maintenance of functioning systems under the control or ownership of other agencies, political subdivisions, private individuals or subdivision trust associations are not eligible for inclusion.

Sec. 2-323 - Benefit Calculation and Storm Water Master Plan.

Upon identification of priority areas for further analysis utilizing the Heat Map, the Director shall cause development of a Storm Water Master Plan for those problem areas. Highest priority areas, as illustrated on the Heat Map, are eligible for further analysis and inclusion in the Storm Water Master Plan that will include the following:

- (A) Establishment of a preliminary scope of work for a concept solution;
- (B) Calculation of MSD benefit points utilizing the MSD Stormwater Projects Prioritization System dated October 10, 2006;
- (C) Establishment of Engineer's Opinion of Probable Cost (EOPC); and
- (D) Calculation of the BCR on the Ladue Score Sheet as attached to this Ordinance.

The BCR calculation includes adjustments to MSD benefit points, by applying multipliers for the number of properties benefitted divided by the number of properties impacted, and for problem category weighting as defined on the Ladue Score Sheet. The problem category weightings were developed in response to priorities determined by the City. Additionally, the definition of a Benefitted Property, as well as the definition of an Impacted Property, are also defined on the Ladue Score Sheet. It is understood that a project may include multiple problem categories. The weighting multiplier to be used in the BCR shall be the number associated with the highest priority problem category identified for that project as determined by the Director.

The Storm Water Master Plan shall be presented to the Committee for review and recommendation to the City Council. The Storm Water Master Plan shall consist at minimum of items A through D as listed above for each area of concern analyzed. The Committee shall review the plan in open session and shall seek input from any and all agencies, groups or individuals as necessary to evaluate the Storm Water Master Plan and revise it as necessary. All affected property owners shall be informed of this review at least one (1) week prior to the session through notice given by first class mail. Upon solicitation of public input, the Committee may alter, adopt or reject the benefit calculation for placement in the Program. The

Storm Water Master Plan shall be updated at regular intervals, but not less than once every three years or as approved by City Council, under the direction of the Director, under contract with a qualified professional services provider or utilizing internal resources.

Sec. 2-324 - Ranking System.

Projects approved by the Committee for recommendation to the City Council shall be ranked with the highest priority given to those with the highest BCR. Projects shall be inserted into the rankings based solely on the calculated ratio regardless of timing and/or order of identification. The Storm Water Master Plan shall be presented annually to the City Council in the form of a rolling five-year implementation and budgeting plan recommended by the Committee as part of the City's annual budget process. The rolling five-year implementation and budgeting plan shall be based upon the comparison of implementation cost of the highest-ranked projects with the funding available for storm water projects in the Fund.

Sec. 2-325 - Outside Party Participation.

Individual property owners, subdivision organizations, private lanes, public agencies, and other interested parties may make a monetary contribution to a project. Any contributions pledged by outside sources shall be identified as a part of the EOPC and deducted from the total project cost prior to the calculation of the BCR. This adjusted ratio shall be utilized in the project ranking. No project with an adjusted ranking shall be implemented prior to the commitment and deposit of funds by the private sources. Funds from private sources shall be placed in an interest bearing escrow account in the name of the City identifying the source of such private funds prior to the commitment of any resources by the City for a project.

Sec. 2-326 - Reevaluation/ Inactivation.

A. Each project shall be reevaluated by the Committee not less than every three (3) years. If changes in project scope or cost are identified by the Director or requested by the affected property owners, the project may be reevaluated annually prior to the presentation of the Storm Water Master Plan to the City Council.

B. In the event that the progress of a project becomes stalled during the design stage due to a failure to reach an agreement/solution with the impacted parties, and it is so deemed by the Committee, a project may be recommended to be placed on inactive status. Prior to this designation, a hearing shall be held at a regularly scheduled meeting of the Committee. The recommendation to place a project on inactive status shall include a set of conditions which if met will allow the inactive status to be lifted. All affected property owners shall be informed of this recommendation at least one (1) week prior to the meeting of the Committee through notice given by first class mail. Upon recommendation of placement upon inactive status, all application of City resources shall be suspended until such a time as the project is returned to active status. A project may be returned to active status once all conditions have been met and the project has been reviewed and approved by the Committee. This placement of a project upon inactive status shall be reported annually to the City Council as part of the rolling five-year implementation plan.

Sec. 2-327 - Minimum Design/Construction Standards.

All projects shall be designed to meet the requirements of the City, MSD, the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, the United States Army Corps of Engineers and any other necessary regulatory agency or political subdivision having rights established under local, State or Federal law. Additionally, projects shall not create a material negative impact to any downstream property.

Sec. 2-328 - Five-Year Implementation Program.

The Committee shall develop an updated implementation plan annually by August 1 which plan shall be presented to the City Council as a part of its annual budgeting process. This implementation plan shall include projects recommended for funding in the upcoming year and four (4) following years. The first two (2) years of the implementation plan shall contain specifically identified projects as well as the associated design, property/easement acquisition and construction costs related to these projects and the necessary budget requests. The final three (3) years of the implementation plan shall consist of a general identification of potential budget requests for continued implementation of the plan. Upon adoption of the five-year implementation plan and the necessary budget requests, the Director shall be responsible for implementation of the plan.

Sec. 2-329 - Small Project Storm Water Grant Program.

The owners of any property located within the City, may apply for funds from the Storm Water Grant Program Fund (the "**Grant Program**") to recover up to 50% of the total property owner's eligible costs, to a maximum of \$25,000.00 per project/owner, to design, review, install, and inspect a City-approved storm water project. Details about the Grant Program are attached to this ordinance as Exhibit B. The Director may amend Exhibit B from time to time as necessary and appropriate upon the review and approval of the Committee.

Section 2. It is the intent of this Council that the portions of this Ordinance shall be severable. In the event that any portion of this Ordinance is found by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, the remaining portions of this Ordinance are valid, unless the court finds that the valid portions standing alone are incomplete and are incapable of being executed in accordance with the legislative intent.

Section 3. This Ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage and approval by the Mayor.

PASSED THIS ____ DAY OF _____ 2016.

President, City Council

ADOPTED AND APPROVED THIS ____ DAY OF _____ 2016.

Nancy Spewak, Mayor

ATTEST:

Laura Rider, City Clerk

Exhibit A

Ladue Score Sheet

Revision: 0 MASTER-wrkbk#16-0001(YRMODA)LadueSMP_PhII
 City of Ladue **PROJECT IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION SHEET**

Storm Water Management Program
 Phase II: Master Plan
 Ladue, Saint Louis County, Missouri
 Work Order No. 2000

PROJECT NAME:
SUBDIVISION(S):
PROJECT No:

STATUS:

Easements Required: 0
Properties Benefited: 0
Properties Impacted: 0
Project Benefit Points: 0
Property Benefit Multiplier: X #DIV/0!
Priority WGT Multiplier: X 0
Adj Prjct Benefit Points: #DIV/0!
EOPC, thousand: \$1.09
Cost Sharing, MSD: \$0.00
Cost Sharing, Other: \$0.00
Adj EOPC, thousand: \$1.09
Benefit to Cost Ratio: #DIV/0!

- Project Source:**
- Citizen Complaint
 - Ladue
 - MSD
 - Other:
- Coordination Required:**
- MSD
 - Corps of Engineers
 - MO DNR
 - MODOT
 - County Highways
 - City Streets
 - City Parks
 - County Parks
 - Municipality:
 - Railroad:
 - Other:

Problem Categories:

- | | | |
|--------------------------|------------|---|
| X | <u>WGT</u> | <u>Description</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 1.15 | Habitable structural flooding & erosion from overland flow (non-floodplain) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 1.15 | Public roadway flooding & erosion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 1.10 | Private roadway flooding & erosion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 1.05 | Flooding from inadequate sinkhole |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 1.05 | Maintenance of stormwater system facilities |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 1.05 | Non-habitable structural flooding & erosion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 1.00 | Yard erosion & erosion of common ground or unmaintained area |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 1.00 | Yard flooding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 0.85 | Structural flooding from creeks or rivers (floodplain) |

Benefited Property Definition:

- 1 A benefited property is one at which flooding and/or erosion is reduced;
- 2 A property that does not receive an actual reduction in flooding or erosion from the construction of storm water improvements is NOT a benefited property; and
- 3 A property that only receives a financial gain from the construction of storm water improvements is NOT a benefited property.

Impacted Property Definition:

- 1 An impacted property is one at which physical disturbance occurs to construct storm water improvements.

MSD/MO American Water Base Map Number(s):
 Laclede Gas Map Number(s):
 FEMA FIRM Map Number(s):
 USGS Quadrangle Map Number(s):

Attachments

- Scope of Work
- Benefit Points Calculation
- Engineer's Opinion of Probable Construction Cost
- Improvement Concept Plan

Notes:

Revision Notes:

Revision:	0	mo/da/yr	1st issue; by Xyz
	1	mo/da/yr	Approved for design; update by Xyz
	2	mo/da/yr	Approved for construction; update by Xyz

Example: MSD prioritization

Prioritization Worksheet -- Guidelines for Use October 10, 2006

General

- The new prioritization worksheet is three pages long.
- Enter the project name and date at the top of each page (it is automatically carried to pages 2 and 3 if using electronically).
- The worksheet is separated into "Problem Categories" and "Solution Categories"

Assigning Problem Points (pages 1-2)

Using information collected in the field and from complaint records, determine which of the two main Problem Categories the investigated problem falls under.

- If the source of the problem is a stream, use Category 1.0 on the first page to assign the "Problem points".
- If the source of the problem is overland flow or inadequate/non-existent storm sewers, turn to Category 2.0 on the second page to assign the "Problem points".

Category 1.0 (page1)

Category 1.0 is subdivided into 1.1 Flooding and 1.2 Erosion. If the project only deals with one of these types of problems, go directly to that subcategory and then evaluate each line in light of the problem identified. If the project deals with both types of problems, complete Section 1.1 and Section 1.2.

Every line on this page utilizes three levels of severity to assign points (except line 1.2.2).

- For structure and roadway flooding, the minimum frequency of storm (2-, 15- or 100-year) causing the problem should be computed or estimated. The number of lots affected is then entered under that storm heading.
- For erosion, field measurements should be made to determine the ratio of height of eroded bank divided by distance from nearest structure. The number of lots affected should be entered to the right of the points shown for the appropriate ratio.

Category 2.0 (page 2)

Category 2.0 is used for flooding problems caused by overland flow or inadequate/non-existent storm sewer systems.

- Category 2.1 utilizes the same three levels of severity as Category 1.1, and the storm frequency should be computed or estimated in the same way.
- Erosion caused by overland flow is included as either as 2.2 "moderate risk erosion of miscellaneous structures" or 2.3 "yard erosion".
- Category 2.4 can be used to assign additional points to a problem if the age of the existing system is greater than 25 years. Using the approximate system age, enter the number of points indicated in the space below the corresponding age range.

Additional things to note under Problem Points:

- Include the address(es) of lots that are assigned points on the line provided under each subcategory.
- The total problem points from pages 1 and 2 are automatically summed at the bottom of page 2 and included in the total benefit points sum at the bottom of page 3 (if entered electronically).

Categories 3-5: Assigning Solution Points (page 3)

After filling out either page 1 or page 2, turn to page 3 to determine if any of the Solution Points can be applied. These points are given to projects whose solution achieves the benefits described in Categories 3-5.

- Category 3.1, reduction of flowrate leaving the site, would most commonly be achieved by the addition of a detention feature. The pre- and post-project peak flowrates are computed to determine the percent of reduction. This percent is then multiplied by 1000 to obtain the total points awarded. This should only be used where the approximate reduction can be reliably estimated at the preliminary stage.
- Category 3.2 points can be applied when a proposed solution combines several smaller projects (that are not immediately adjacent to one another) into a watershed or subwatershed solution. Enter the number of total projects combined less one: for example, if 3 projects are combined, enter 2 as the number of additional projects.
- Category 4.1 points can be applied if the proposed solution includes any of the water quality features listed. These should only be used if the user has confidence that the feature will not be dropped during final design. For linear treatments, divide the total proposed length by 100 LF to obtain the number of units. For treatment areas, enter the number of acres. Round all quantities to the nearest whole number.
- Category 4.2 points can be applied if the project eliminates a combined sewer system. A non-regional solution would get 100 points, while a regional solution could get 100 points per project that eliminates a combined sewer system.
- Category 4.3, which addresses inflow into the sanitary system, can be applied where the proposed solution would *eliminate* the inflow. The total number of locations eliminated is entered by the user.
- Category 5.1 assigns points for projects with fewer easements. The user's best estimate of the number of easements that will be required is used to determine how many points can be awarded. The user must enter the number of points (20, 10 or 5 points) appropriate for the number of easements estimated.

- Category 5.2 allows the user to enter 100 points if the proposed solution will include recreational or educational benefits to the public.

Cost/Benefit Ratio

- When all Problem and Solution Points are assigned, the total benefit points will be summed automatically (if entered electronically).
- Divide the cost estimate for the project by 1,000 and enter it in the worksheet where indicated.
- The cost/benefit ratio will be automatically computed (if entered electronically).

Project Designation

At the bottom of page 3, indicate whether the project is likely to be performed by MSD or by others. This is normally dictated by MSD's policy, which outlines the District's maintenance responsibility and defines what constitutes the MSD system. However, if the project is to be funded by OMCI funds, other types of projects are sometimes included (e.g. culvert replacement).

MSD Stormwater Projects Prioritization System
Revised Benefit Points Allocation Schedule

PROJECT NAME: _____

DATE: _____

PROBLEM SOLVED CATEGORY		Chronic (<=2-Yr) Flooding		Frequent (>2<=15-Yr) Flooding		Infrequent (>15-Yr) Flooding		Total Points	
		Points per Category	No. Lots Affected	Points per Category	No. Lots Affected	Points per Category	No. Lots Affected		
Note: Problem points are awarded only for those problems solved by the proposed solution.									
1.0 STREAM	1.1. FLOODING	1.1.1. Structure Flooding							
		Habitable 1st floor, residential; includes spaces with mechanical equipment (1 lot per structure) <i>Address:</i>	300		150		25		
		Basement (1 lot per structure) <i>Address:</i>	200		100		15		
		Attached Garage (1 lot per structure) <i>Address:</i>	100		50		8		
		Misc. structures including patio/decks, pools, sheds, tennis courts, detached garages, etc.(1 lot per structure) <i>Address:</i>	50		25		4		
		Industrial, office, commercial and warehouse (1 lot per 2,500 sf of floor space flooded) <i>Address:</i>	300		150		25		
		Yard Flooding (1 per lot) <i>Address:</i>	10		5		0		
		1.1.2. Roadway Flooding (allocate 1 lot per 250' of roadway impacted & 2 lots per intersection impacted)							
	Emergency Access restricted (>12" water over only access route to habitable structure), pts per structure <i>Address:</i>	200		100		15			
	Traffic obstruction (> 6" of water) on arterial street <i>Address:</i>	50		25		4			
	Traffic obstruction (> 6" of water) on collector street <i>Address:</i>	25		12		2			
	Traffic obstruction (> 6" of water) on residential street <i>Address:</i>	10		5		1			
	1.2. EROSION	1.2.1. Threatening Structure (Ratio=Height of bank / distance from structure)		Pts. for Ratio > 0.70	No. Lots	Pts. for Ratio 0.36 - 0.70	No. Lots	Pts. for Ratio 0.15-0.35	No. Lots
		Habitable structures, residential (1 lot per structure) <i>Address:</i>		300		200		50	
Misc structures including pools, patio/decks, sheds, tennis courts, detached garages, etc.(1 lot per structure) <i>Address:</i>		150		100		25			
Industrial, office, commercial and warehouse (1 lot per structure) <i>Address:</i>		300		200		50			
1.2.2. No. of lots (from 1.2.1) on outside of bend			lots	10 points per lot					
1.2.3. Threatening Roadway (allocate 1 lot per 250' of roadway impacted & 2 lots per intersection impacted)		Pts. for Ratio > 0.70	No. Lots	Pts. for Ratio 0.36 - 0.70	No. Lots	Pts. for Ratio 0.15-0.35	No. Lots		
Arterial Road: <i>Address:</i>		75		50		12			
Collector Road: <i>Address:</i>		35		25		6			
Residential Road: <i>Address:</i>		20		12		3			

MSD Stormwater Projects Prioritization System
Revised Benefit Points Allocation Schedule

PROJECT NAME: _____

DATE: _____

CONTINUED:

		Chronic (<=2-Yr) Flooding		Frequent (>2<=15-Yr) Flooding		Infrequent (>15-Yr) Flooding		Total Points
		Points per Category	No. Lots Affected	Points per Category	No. Lots Affected	Points per Category	No. Lots Affected	
2.0 STORM SEWER / OVERLAND FLOW		PROBLEM SOLVED CATEGORY, CONT.						
		Note: Problem points are awarded only for those problems solved by the proposed solution.						
2.1. FLOODING		2.1.1. Structure Flooding						
		Habitable 1st floor, residential; includes spaces with mechanical equipment (1 lot per structure)* Address:						
		350		250		65		
		Basement (1 lot per structure)* Address:						
		250		200		50		
		Industrial, office, commercial and warehouse (1 lot per 2,500 sf of floor space flooded)* Address:						
		300		200		50		
		* If there is an existing public system and points are taken for any of the 3 items above, add 50 points.						
		Existing System Y/N						
		Attached Garage (1 lot per structure) Address:						
		100		75		25		
		Misc. structures including patio/decks, pools, sheds, tennis courts, detached garages, etc.(1 lot per structure) Address:						
		50		35		12		
		Yard Flooding (1 per lot) Address:						
		10		6		0		
2.1. FLOODING		2.1.2. Roadway Flooding (allocate 1 lot per 250' of roadway impacted & 2 lots per intersection impacted)						
		Emergency Access restricted (>12" water over only access route to habitable structure), pts per structure Address:						
		200		150		25		
		Traffic obstruction (> 6" of water) on arterial street Address:						
		50		35		6		
		Traffic obstruction (> 6" of water) on collector street Address:						
		25		15		2		
		Traffic obstruction (> 6" of water) on residential street Address:						
		10		6		1		
		Ponding (per ponding area) Address:						
		No. Ponds:		Points/pond:		5		
		2.2. Moderate Risk Erosion of misc. structures Address:						
		No. Lots:		Points/lot:		20		
		2.3. Yard Erosion (1 per lot) Address:						
		No. Lots:		Points/lot:		10		
		2.4. Age of Existing System						
		>50 yrs (30 pts)		26-50 yrs (15 pts)		<25 yrs (0 pts)		
		Points for Age						
		TOTAL PROBLEM POINTS						
		Note: Problem points are awarded only for those problems solved by the proposed solution.						

MSD Stormwater Projects Prioritization System
Revised Benefit Points Allocation Schedule

PROJECT NAME: _____

DATE: _____

CONTINUED:

SOLUTION BENEFIT CATEGORY					
3.0 REGIONAL	3.1. Reduction of flowrate leaving site	% reduction of peak flowrate :		Max points:	1000
	3.2. Combines smaller projects into regional solution (see note)	No. Add'l Projects:		Points per Add'l Proj.:	50
4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL / WATER QUALITY	4.1. Addresses pollutants:	No. Units		Points per Unit	
	Bioswales		PER 100 LF	10	
	Forebays		AC	200	
	Wet Ponds		AC	100	
	Wetlands		AC	50	
	Biostabilization of banks (per bank)		PER 100 LF	10	
	Rifle Pool Complex		PER 100 LF	10	
	4.2. Eliminates combined sewer (per project)		EA	100	
4.3. Eliminates inflow into sanitary system (1 each per basement flooded, yard vent overtopped, street inlet or driveway drain connected to sanitary/combined system, etc.)		EA	10		
5.0 MISC.	5.1. Ease of Implementation (No. of Easements)	0-5 (20 pts)	6-10 (10 pts)	11-15 (5 pts)	>15 (0 pts)
	Points for Easements				
	5.2. Recreational/Educational	Yes = 100, no = 0 pts			
TOTAL SOLUTION POINTS					
TOTAL BENEFIT POINTS					

Note: A regional solution combines several smaller projects into a watershed or subwatershed solution.

TOTAL COST IN THOUSANDS=

BENEFIT/ COST RATIO= TOTAL POINTS/ TOTAL COST IN THOUSANDS=

Place "X" in one box below:

	MSD Project
	Project by Others

Exhibit B

Small Project Storm Water Grant Program

Program Eligibility and Information

A. The owners of any property (collectively, Property Owner(s)) located within the City of Ladue (the City), may apply for a grant from the storm water account fund (the Fund) as allocated by the City Council to the Ladue Storm Water Grant Program (the Grant Program), to cover up to 50% of the total Property Owner(s)' eligible costs, up to a maximum of \$25,000 per project, to design, review, install, and inspect a City-approved storm water project (a Project) under the Grant Program. The minimum Project cost is \$5000 with a City match of \$2500.

B. Each fiscal year a maximum of up to \$50,000 may be allocated to the Fund to be used for Project(s) approved for the then applicable fiscal year under the Grant Program for Property Owner(s) whose Project(s) are eligible for reimbursement as set forth in this Grant Program. The amount allocated to the Grant Program shall be determined annually by the City Council as part of its approval of the City's fiscal year budget. Amounts allocated under the Grant Program which are not expended during the applicable fiscal year shall not be cumulative nor added to the Grant Program for the following fiscal year.

C. The Grant Program is designed to assist Property Owner(s) in completing small storm water projects on their property that alleviate storm and surface water problems through the use of appropriately designed improvements that meet requirements under relevant law, are consistent with the City's Storm Water Ordinance and Storm Water Master Plan (the "Plan"), and result in a material and substantial improvement in the impact of storm and surface water for Property Owner(s). A Project, if approved, must result in sustained improvements and are not subject to modification without City approval.

D. All Projects submitted for consideration and participation in the Grant Program shall be reviewed, prioritized, and approved by the Director of Public Works (the "Director") and the Storm Water Advisory Committee (the "Committee"), under the scope and requirements of the Grant Program and the Storm Water Ordinance. Each fiscal year the Director and the Committee shall review all submitted proposed Projects and will either approve eligibility of a Project or deny eligibility and reject it. Projects that are determined to be eligible will then be prioritized in order of the date the completed application is approved. Projects approved for eligibility but which are not funded for reimbursement by the City during that fiscal year will be carried over to the next fiscal year upon a written request by the Property Owner(s) to do so subject to funding allocated to the Grant Program by the City Council during the budgeting process. Approved Projects will be prioritized and placed on an approved Project list and ranked on a first-approved, first-served basis, using the final application approval date of a completed application.

Procedure for Project Approval

To be considered for Project approval and eligibility in the Grant Program, Property Owner(s) must submit a fully completed and executed application containing all information, materials and documents as described and/or required in this Grant Program to the Director prior to commencement of any storm water remediation work. A meeting and site visit with the Director is

mandatory prior to submission of an application to enable the Property Owner(s) to understand whether the Project is eligible as well as to identify all information necessary to be submitted.

An executed application form, obtained from the City, must be submitted to the Director by the Property Owner(s) together with all required additional information described in the Grant Program. To be considered complete, an application must include, at a minimum, the following information as well as the information identified infra in the process for Project approval:

1. The Property Owner(s)' name(s), property address, telephone number, e-mail address, and the contact information of primary contact person.
2. A statement describing the specific issues, problems or concerns regarding surface or storm water on the subject property that is proposed to be addressed.
3. A description and scope of the proposed corrective action, including a formal site plan or a sketch showing the proposed actions, work or improvements to be performed on the property.
4. The formal site plan or a sketch to include:
 - A detailed plan and scope for proposed storm water remediation (e.g., Rain Gardens, Drain Berms, and Yard Inlets).
 - The specific location and scope of the proposed work and remediation improvements on the property.
 - The direction of storm and surface water drainage flow with directional arrows.

Process for Project Approval

Applicants shall be notified by the City if a Project is eligible for the Grant Program, and if so notified, the Property Owner(s) shall take the following additional steps identified below to complete the approval process. Applicants that are notified as eligible but whose Project is unable to be funded in the current fiscal year will be placed on a wait-list until funding is available whether during the then current fiscal year or carried over to the next fiscal year. Applicants whose Projects are approved but choose not to proceed must reapply in the future.

1. No application will be considered as submitted until it is complete with all necessary information included. Prior to Project approval applicants shall:
 - a. Submit a formal and complete engineering plan prepared by a licensed Missouri professional civil engineer or landscape architect with the necessary scope and design requirements for review.
 - b. Obtain any required permits, easements or other information required by other governmental agencies or permitting authorities, such as the St. Louis Metropolitan Sewer District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, or the Missouri Department of Natural Resources.
 - c. Submit any necessary easements required by the City, fully executed and in recordable form.

- d. Submit signed agreements from all adjacent property owners for the work to be performed including any necessary temporary easements.
2. Upon approval of a formal application, eligible applicants shall obtain and submit a minimum of three comparable bids from contractors which are fully capable of completing the proposed work for the Project in a workmanlike manner in full compliance with the scope of work and applicable law and regulations.
3. All Project improvements shall be located within easements created and dedicated to the City. Proposed easements for this purpose shall be submitted together with the plan for Project approval. Recorded easements must be submitted with recording receipts before reimbursement will be made.
4. Property Owner(s) are responsible for directly hiring and paying the contractor to perform the work and assuring that the contractor completes the Project pursuant to the approved plan, scope and specifications. It is expressly understood that the City has no responsibility to the contractor for payment, which is the sole responsibility of the Property Owner(s).
5. Upon completion of the work, and before any reimbursement is made by the City, the Property Owner(s) shall provide to the Director, for review and approval, the following:
 - a. Written verification by the Property Owner(s) of completion of the work by the Property Owner(s)' contractor and compliance with Project documents and requirements:
 - b. Paid receipts or invoices identifying the necessary detail and itemization for the materials and work done by the contractor, with fully executed and completed lien waivers and releases from the contractor, subcontractors and material suppliers; and
 - c. A copy of required recorded easements.

Scope of City Review

- A. The Director and the Committee will review the completed application together with the information submitted by the Property Owner(s). The Director, after Committee approval, will notify the Property Owner(s) of the eligibility of the proposed Project as either:
 1. Accepted with funding available;
 2. Accepted with funding not available and placed on the wait-list;
 3. Not eligible and denied.
- B. Accepted Projects will be prioritized by submission date. Projects eligible for funding in the current fiscal year may be approved pending submission of any required additional information, as set forth herein. Approved Projects that cannot be funded during the current fiscal year shall be put on a waitlist and may be approved if funding becomes available.

- C. For Projects accepted and approved for funding, Property Owner(s) must submit all additional required information as set forth herein. Upon submission of all required information for approval, the Director will review the formal plan and information to assure the Project and proposed work meets all requirements under applicable law, regulations and ordinances and is consistent with the Project.
- D. After approval of the Project plan and submission of the required proposals and bids from the Property Owner(s)' contractors, the Director will review the contractor submissions and the proposed eligible project costs.
- E. Upon completion of the Project work and submission of all final information required for reimbursement, the Director will perform a final inspection to verify that the Project was completed as designed and is in full compliance with the scope of the work, applicable law and regulations, and the Project plan.
- F. Upon proof of payment in full by the Property Owner(s) of all costs and expenses of the Project and submission of itemized bills, invoices and recorded easements, the City shall reimburse the Property Owner(s) for 50% of the total eligible Project costs, but no more than \$25,000 for any Project regardless of the total Project cost. The minimum Project cost is \$5,000 with a City match of \$2,500.

Reimbursement Costs

The following costs are authorized for reimbursement under the Grant Program, in a total amount not to exceed the lesser of 50% of the total approved or \$25,000:

- 1. The cost of demolition or removal of any site improvements (i.e. fences, sidewalks, driveway, etc.) necessary for excavation and installation of the improvements.
- 2. The cost of restoration of any section of sidewalk, driveway, or landscaping required to be removed by the work.
- 3. The cost of the improvements themselves.
- 4. The cost of necessary professional services employed for survey, design and engineering related to the proposed improvements.

Non-Eligible Costs

The following costs are not eligible for reimbursement under the Grant Program:

- 1. The cost of interior clean-up or any damage to the interior of a home, building or personal property caused by the construction or installation of the improvements.
- 2. Any lost wages or income to the Property Owner(s) or any occupant due to absence from work or time necessary to accommodate the City or contractors to complete the Project plan.

3. Flowers, bushes, trees, landscaping, turf, and other similar yard improvements unrelated to or not reasonably necessary for the storm water mitigation Project plan.
4. Utilities, lawn irrigation systems, low voltage wiring and high voltage wiring and other similar equipment, materials or related devices unrelated to the storm water mitigation Project plan.

The City may periodically amend these guidelines in the best interests of the City and its property owners as allowed by Missouri law.

RESOLUTION NO. 2016-05

A RESOLUTION GRANTING A VARIATION TO SECTION 94-34(4) OF CHAPTER 94 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES FOR THE RESUBDIVISION OF LOT 10 OF BRIARCLIFF TO INCLUDE AN EASEMENT WITHIN THE LOT AREA CALCULATION, PURSUANT TO AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 94-3(a).

WHEREAS, Section 94-3(a) of the Ladue Code of Ordinances allows variations and modifications to the provisions of Chapter 94 (Subdivisions), in the case of hardships or substantial difficulties; and

WHEREAS, Peter and Meredith Perkins have applied for approval of a Subdivision Plat to create two lots from what is currently known as Adjusted Lot 10 of Briarcliff, which is bordered on one side by Briarcliff Drive, a 40 foot wide roadway used by the subdivision for lot access; and

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Perkins have requested a variation from Section 94-34(4), which states that the lot area shall not include any easements for a public road, private street, or new driveway; and

WHEREAS, at its meeting on May 25, 2016, the Zoning and Planning Commission discussed the request for the variation to include the roadway easement into the lot area calculation and the fact that the easement is currently used by the subdivision for lot access; and

WHEREAS, the Zoning and Planning Commission found that granting the variance would not preserve the intent and spirit of the regulation and voted unanimously (6 to 0) to recommend denial of the variation to Section 94-34(4); and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds, under the facts presented, that the request to vary the requirement of Section 94-34(4) to allow inclusion of the roadway easement into the lot area calculation meets the requirements of Section 94-3(a) and such variation should therefore be granted.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOR THE CITY OF LADUE, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. That the requested variation to Section 94-34(4) to include the area within Briarcliff Drive in the lot calculations for the Resubdivision of Briarcliff Lot 10 is hereby granted, with the understanding that

1. This subdivision must comply with all other requirements of Chapter 94 unless other requirements of Chapter 94 are waived by Resolution of the Ladue City Council.
2. Final approval of the subdivision plat will not be ordained by the Ladue City Council unless a variance is granted by the Zoning Board of Adjustment due to the fact that one of these lots does not meet the minimum lot size as required by Ordinance 1175.
3. Final approval of the subdivision plat will also not be ordained by the Ladue City Council until the final plat has been reviewed by all necessary city representatives of the City of Ladue.

Section 2. This Resolution shall take effect and be in full force from and after its passage by the City Council and approval by the Mayor.

Adopted by the City Council and approved by the Mayor on this ____ day of July 2016.

Nancy Spewak, Mayor

ATTEST:

Laura Rider, City Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 2016-06

A RESOLUTION GRANTING A VARIATION TO SECTION 94-34(4)e OF CHAPTER 94 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES FOR THE RESUBDIVISION OF LOT 10 OF BRIARCLIFF TO INCLUDE TWO LOTS WITH MORE THAN FIVE SIDES, PURSUANT TO AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 94-3(a).

WHEREAS, Section 94-3(a) of the Ladue Code of Ordinances allows variations and modifications to the provisions of Chapter 94 (Subdivisions), in the case of hardships or substantial difficulties; and

WHEREAS, Peter and Meredith Perkins have applied for approval of a Subdivision Plat for what is currently known as Adjusted Lot 10 of Briarcliff, which would divide one lot into two lots; and

WHEREAS, Peter and Meredith Perkins have requested a variation from Section 94-34(4)e, which states that lots with more than five sides are undesirable and shall be avoided; and

WHEREAS, 94-34(4)e states that lots with five sides are undesirable and shall be avoided unless, in the judgment of the planning consultant, in the case of small subdivisions, that more than five sides are necessary or desirable due to the unique character of the property based on established criteria within Section 94-34(4)2; and

WHEREAS, the planning consultant has determined that the subdivision does not meet the criteria, as listed in 94-34(4)e, and therefore this variation request was submitted to the Zoning and Planning Commission pursuant to Section 94-3(a); and

WHEREAS, at its meeting on May 25, 2016, the Zoning and Planning Commission determined that allowing the resubdivision of this lot into two lots with more than five sides would allow the applicant to plan and develop his property without unjust difficulties and expense, but at the same time the public welfare and interests of the municipality are fully protected and the general intent and spirit of the regulations are preserved; and

WHEREAS, the Zoning and Planning Commission voted unanimously (6 to 0) to recommend approval of the variation to Section 94-34(4)e for the number of sides of the lots created by this Preliminary Plat; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds, under the facts presented, that the request to vary the requirement of Section 94-34(4)e to allow the resubdivision of Lot 10 of Briarcliff to be created with more than 5 sides per lot meets the requirements of Section 94-3(a) as recommended by the Zoning and Planning Commission and such variation should therefore be granted.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOR THE CITY OF LADUE, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. That the requested variation to Section 94-34(4)e to allow Peter and Meredith Perkins to create two subdivision lots with more than 5 sides is hereby granted, with the understanding that,

1. This subdivision must comply with all other requirements of Chapter 94 unless other requirements of Chapter 94 are waived by Resolution of the Ladue City Council.
2. Final approval of the subdivision plat will not be ordained by the Ladue City Council unless a variance is granted by the Zoning Board of Adjustment due to the fact that one of these lots does not meet the minimum lot size as required by Ordinance 1175.
3. Final approval of the subdivision plat will also not be ordained by the Ladue City Council until the final plat has been reviewed by all necessary city representatives of the City of Ladue.

Section 2. This Resolution shall take effect and be in full force from and after its passage by the City Council and approval by the Mayor.

Adopted by the City Council and approved by the Mayor on this ____ day of July 2016.

Nancy Spewak, Mayor

ATTEST:

Laura Rider, City Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 2016-09

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE ON BEHALF OF THE CITY A RIGHTS-OF-WAY USE AGREEMENT WITH EXTENET SYSTEMS, INC.

WHEREAS, ExteNet Systems, Inc. has applied for and obtained a Special Use Permit to operate wireless facilities in the City's Rights-of-Way ("ROW") conditioned upon the parties entering into a ROW Use Agreement; and

WHEREAS, ExteNet Systems, Inc. has now requested consent from the City to obtain landowner consent and authorize its use of the ROW to construct, install, maintain, and operate facilities for communications or related capabilities; and

WHEREAS, Missouri law provides conditions relating to the City's consent to, and authorizes the City to regulate the use and occupancy of its ROW for placement of various communications facilities; and

WHEREAS, the City is authorized to and has established standards for occupancy of the ROW by communications facilities and wireless facilities and other uses that are consistent with and recognize the Public Service Commission's duties and jurisdiction; and

WHEREAS, the City and ExteNet Systems, Inc. desire to enter into a ROW Use Agreement to authorize ExteNet Systems, Inc.'s use of the City's ROW under certain terms and conditions.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOR THE CITY OF LADUE, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute the attached Rights-of-Way Use Agreement in substantially the form of Exhibit 1, attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference, with ExteNet Systems, Inc.

Section 2. This Resolution shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage and approval by the Mayor.

Adopted by the City Council and approved by the Mayor on this ____ day of _____ 2016.

Nancy Spewak, Mayor

ATTEST:

Laura Rider, City Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 2016-10

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF LADUE, MISSOURI A CONTRACT WITH SCI ENGINEERING, INC. FOR CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL TESTING AND SPECIAL INSPECTIONS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE NEW FIRE HOUSE NO. 1.

WHEREAS, on July 6, 2016, the City of Ladue sent out a request for qualifications and proposals for construction material testing and special inspections for the construction of the new Fire House No. 1 ("Project"); and

WHEREAS, staff reviewed three bid submittals and after evaluation of the same in accordance with the City's competitive bidding requirements, found that SCI Engineering, Inc. submitted the lowest and best bid that met the City's needs; and

WHEREAS, the City Council now desires and finds it in the best interest of the City to enter into a contract with SCI Engineering, Inc. for the Project as the lowest and best bidder.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOR THE CITY OF LADUE, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The City Council hereby authorizes the Mayor to execute on behalf of the City a contract with SCI Engineering, Inc. for construction material testing and special inspections for the construction of the new Fire House No. 1 in substantially the form of Exhibit A incorporated herein by reference (the "Contract"), including all documents specified in the Contract as being part of the Contract.

Section 2. This Resolution shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage and approval by the Mayor.

Adopted by the City Council and approved by the Mayor on this ____ day of _____ 2016.

Nancy Spewak, Mayor

ATTEST:

Laura Rider, City Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 2016-11

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF LADUE, MISSOURI A CONTRACT WITH ROADS SAFE TRAFFIC SYSTEMS, INC. FOR THE CITYWIDE PAVEMENT STRIPING PROJECT 2016/2017

WHEREAS, on July 11, 2016, the City of Ladue sent out a request for bids for the Pavement Striping Project 2016/2017 in the City of Ladue in accordance with the bid documents and specifications ("Project"); and

WHEREAS, staff reviewed the bid submittals obtained on July 28, 2016, and after evaluation of the same in accordance with the City's competitive bidding requirements, found that Roadsafe Traffic Systems, Inc. submitted the lowest and best bid that met the City's needs; and

WHEREAS, the City Council now desires and finds it in the best interest of the City to enter into a contract with Roadsafe Traffic Systems, Inc. for the Project as the lowest and best bidder.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOR THE CITY OF LADUE, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The City Council hereby authorizes the Mayor to execute on behalf of the City a contract with Roadsafe Traffic Systems, Inc. to perform citywide pavement markings over the course of a two-year period as outlined in the bid documents, in substantially the form of Exhibit A incorporated herein by reference (the "Contract"), including all documents specified in the Contract as being part of the Contract Documents.

Section 2. The requirements of Section 292.675 RSMo., and the OSHA requirements, penalties, and obligations in the Contract, are hereby incorporated herein by reference and made a part of this Resolution for all purposes.

Section 3. This Resolution shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage and approval by the Mayor.

Adopted by the City Council and approved by the Mayor on this 18th day of August 2016.

Nancy Spewak, Mayor

ATTEST:

Laura Rider, City Clerk